

Chapter 10

Chemical Bonding I: Basic Concepts

© Arno Papayian

1

What is a "Chemical Bond"?

A "strong" attractive force between atoms

How strong is "strong"?

- Strong enough to stop the "bonded" atoms from moving away completely when agitated by thermal motion
- Strong enough to compete with attractions to other atoms

© Arno Papayian

2

An attraction is not much of a bond if it cannot compete with other forces, regardless of how strong it is.



To be a "bond", it needs to be the dominant force between the atoms.

© Arno Papayian

3

An atom can be bonded to more than one atom at the same time, but each bond remains intact over time.

Na^+ is bonded to 6 Cl^- ions (and each Cl^- to 6 Na^+) in a NaCl crystal.



In solution, the force between Na^+ and Cl^- is overwhelmed by the forces between the ions and the water molecules, and there is no "bond" between Na^+ and Cl^- . They diffuse away from each other.

© Arno Papayian

4

Any attractive force represents a lowered energy (more stability), whether it is a bond or not.

So, it's definitely true that:

Atoms in a bond have lower energy compared with the same atoms separated from each other.

It may not be the strongest, lowest-energy bond an atom could make, but each atom has lower energy than when it is alone.

© Arno Papayian

5

While we used ions to introduce the concept of bonding, and what distinguishes it from ordinary attraction, it's only one of the possible modes of bonding.

© Arno Papayian

6

A useful way to classify chemical bonds

Ionic


- Due to the electrostatic attraction between a cation (+) and an anion (-).
- No sharing of electrons

Covalent


- Due to the attraction of the nuclei of two atoms to a higher density of electrons between them (therefore "shared" by both atoms.)

© Arno Papayan

7



Covalent



Each nucleus attracted to extra electron density

© Arno Papayan

8

What about intermediate cases?

- Most bonds actually fall somewhere in between
- Still, classifying bonds as "ionic" or "covalent" is very useful

We will not consider other kinds of bonding that cannot be easily understood in terms of these two modes of bonding.


© Arno Papayan

9

Ionic bonding

The strong attraction between two oppositely charged ions.

Formation of an ionic bond is often conceptualized as the "transfer" of electron(s) from the atom that forms the cation to the atom that forms the anion, both attaining a noble-gas electron configuration as a result.



© Arno Papayan

10

But:

The ionic bond doesn't need to be formed as a result of a direct transfer of an electron between two atoms.

All we need are oppositely charged ions. They may be brought together long after they were formed quite separately from one another.


© Arno Papayan

11

Ionic bonds do not lead to molecules.

They lead to "ionic compounds".

In solid form, ionic compounds form regular crystals where the (+) and (-) charges stack in a way that maximizes the force of attraction (and minimizes the potential energy).




© Arno Papayan

12

How do we know they are not in the form of molecules?

When we melt an ionic substance, individual ions start floating away separately. They **don't** melt as neutral units where the cation and the anion are stuck together.



© Arno Papayanni

13

Covalent bonding

Another way two atoms can be more stable together than apart is by offering the valence electrons the chance to spend more time between the nuclei.

The (-) electron would be near not one but **two** (+) **nuclei**, making the system more stable (lower in potential energy)


When this opportunity arises, there is no reason for only one atom's electron to do it. An electron from both atoms will want to concentrate in the space between them.

© Arno Papayanni

14

Covalent bonding example

As two hydrogen atoms approach each other, the electron on each atom has an opportunity to lower its potential energy by spending most of its time between the two nuclei. At a certain distance, "bond length", minimum energy is achieved.



© Arno Papayanni

15

If the atoms are pressed closer, the electrons would be squeezed out and the nuclei would repel each other, making the system less stable.

If the atoms are farther than the "bond length", potential energy of the electrons are higher.

The energy it takes to pull the atoms from "bond length" to completely apart is called "bond dissociation energy".

© Arno Papayanni

16

Polar covalent bonds and Electronegativity

We learned earlier that some elements "like" electrons more than others, as indicated by their larger ionization energy or electron affinity.

- It costs more to remove an electron from them
- More energy is released when an electron is added to them

When an element is making a covalent bond, its relative attraction to electrons doesn't go away.

If it attracted electrons strongly alone, it will still do that when bonded.

© Arno Papayanni

17

The tendency of a **bonded atom** to attract electrons towards itself is called "**electronegativity**".

© Arno Papayanni

18

One intuitive way to define electronegativity for an element is to [average the ionization energy and electron affinity](#) (which measure how much it “likes” electrons)


- and then scale the set of numbers obtained for all elements appropriately.

That is different from how Linus Pauling* defined it, but that’s ok; there are many ways to define the idea of electronegativity mathematically.

*Linus Pauling was a famous Nobel laureate chemist

© Arno Paetzmann

19




© Arno Paetzmann

20

The “shared” bonding electrons spend more time near the more electronegative atom.

Increased electron density around the more electronegative atom gives it an extra “partial” negative charge (shown as δ^-).

Conversely, the less electronegative atom develops a partial positive charge (shown as δ^+).



δ : greek lower-case letter “delta”

© Arno Paetzmann

21

When the atoms forming a covalent bond develop partial negative and positive charges, the bond is called a “polar covalent bond”, or simply a “polar bond”.

We can roughly estimate how polar a bond is by examining the electronegativity difference between the two bonded atoms.

And we can use electronegativity values to compare the polarity of different covalent bonds.



© Arno Paetzmann

22

Example

Using electronegativities, arrange the following bonds in order of increasing polarity: P—H, H—O, C—Cl.

Electronegativity differences:


$$\text{P—H} \quad 2.1 - 2.1 = 0$$

$$\text{H—O} \quad 3.5 - 2.1 = 1.4$$

$$\text{C—Cl} \quad 3.0 - 2.5 = 0.5$$

Bond polarities:

$$\text{P—H} < \text{C—Cl} < \text{H—O}$$



© Arno Paetzmann

23

To compare the polarities of bonds

- We don’t always need the exact electronegativity values
- We don’t need the exact values of electronegativity differences
- We can use the relative locations in the periodic table
- The farther the two elements are from each other in the direction from the top-right corner (high electronegativity) of the periodic table to the bottom left (low electronegativity), the more polar the bond will be

© Arno Paetzmann

24

P is to the left of Cl
 ⇒ Lower EN than Cl

Sb is as far to the left of Cl as P is
 But it is also lower
 ⇒ Its EN is even lower than that of P
 ⇒ Its EN difference from Cl is even bigger

Sb-Cl bond is more polar than P-Cl bond

25

When we are using just the periodic table, we will avoid cases when the relative electronegativities are ambiguous.

N being higher than P in the periodic table makes its EN go up, and be closer to that of Cl.

But O is so much higher (both in position and electronegativity) than Te that EN difference between O and I is greater than between Te and I (O is a lot more electronegative than I)

26

In cases when it's too difficult to use the periodic table to compare electronegativities, we can use a table of electronegativities.

- But be aware that there is no precise relationship between electronegativity difference and bond polarity
- Even equal electronegativities can go with a nontrivial bond polarity
- Purely quantum mechanical factors can be as important as electronegativity difference

© Arno Papapanos

27

Pick the more polar bond:

O—F **N—F**

O is less electronegative than F.
 N is further left than O, compared with F.
N and F have a larger EN difference.

S—Cl **Se—Cl**

S is less electronegative than Cl.
 Se is below S in the same group, so it has even lower EN.
Se and Cl have a larger EN difference.

28

Polarization creates a “dipole moment”

- Larger the separated charges, larger the dipole moment
- Farther the separation, larger the dipole moment

We use an arrow to represent a dipole moment.

- Tail of the arrow at the positive center of charge.
- Point to the negative charge center

+ → - “Chemist’s dipole”

Unfortunately the opposite of the convention used in Physics, or by whomever does any actual calculations with dipoles 😊

© Arno Papapanos

29


Bond polarity and molecular polarity

- A molecule cannot be polar without polar bonds
- But the presence of polar bonds doesn't guarantee that the molecule is polar because bond dipoles can cancel out
- If the bond dipoles of the polar bonds cancel then the molecule is non-polar. Otherwise it's polar.

© Arno Papapanos


30

Water molecule has a net dipole moment



31

CO₂ has no net dipole moment because its bond dipoles cancel each other



32

- Average locations of the δ^- and δ^+ charges coincide.
- If the molecule was bent like the water molecule, they wouldn't coincide, and the molecule would be polar

Polar Molecules, Non-polar Molecules

- In practice, if a molecule's dipole moment is "small enough" it would be called "nonpolar"


But

- For our purposes, we will call a molecule "nonpolar" only if its dipole moment is exactly zero
 - It's impractical to calculate the molecular dipole moment at this stage
 - But we can determine if the dipole moment is zero or not
 - And we will call a molecule "polar" if its dipole moment is nonzero (no matter how small)
- © Arno Papayan

33

Molecular polarity and symmetry

A simple way to judge polarity:




34

We learned that larger electronegativity difference between bonded atoms leads to more polarity in the bond

- If bond polarity is high enough, then the bond is "ionic"
 - If not declared "ionic", we will call the bond "polar covalent" as long as there is an electronegativity difference between the bonded atoms
- © Arno Papayan

35

Electronegativity and Bond Type



36

- 100% covalent bond is possible
In any diatomic molecule, atoms share the bond electrons equally
- Even the largest electronegativity difference cannot give a 100% ionic bond
CsF is less than 75% ionic

© Arno Papayan

37

Simple general rule for binary compounds:

nonmetal-nonmetal bond: **Covalent**
Never ionic

metal-nonmetal bond*: **Ionic**

* In some cases it may be polar covalent
But then we will be told that we have a molecule

© Arno Papayan

38

Practice:

Arrange the following bonds from **most to least polar**:

- a) N-F O-F C-F
b) C-F N-O Si-F
c) Cl-Cl B-Cl S-Cl

© Arno Papayan

39

Practice:

Which of the following bonds in a binary compound would be the **least polar yet still be** considered polar covalent?

Mg-O C-O O-O Si-O **N-O**

To be considered polar covalent, unequal sharing of electrons must still occur.
We choose the bond with the least difference in electronegativity, but still with some unequal sharing of electrons.

© Arno Papayan

40

Practice:

Which of the following bonds would be the **most polar without** being considered **ionic**?

Mg-O C-O O-O **Si-O** N-O

To not be considered ionic, the bond needs to be between two nonmetals.
The most polar bond between the nonmetals occurs with the bond that has the greatest difference in electronegativity.

© Arno Papayan

41

Electron Configurations in Stable Compounds

Considering only compounds of main-group elements:

Covalent bond:

- Shared electrons fill up the valence shells of both atoms.
- Both atoms achieve the electron configuration of the next noble gas.

Ionic bond:

- The valence shell of the **nonmetal** achieves the electron configuration of the next noble gas.
- The valence shell of the **metal** is emptied, and it achieves the electron configuration of the previous noble gas.

© Arno Papayan

42

In other words:

For main group elements (i.e. elements in the leftmost 2 and rightmost 6 columns of the periodic table; i.e. outside of transition block), bond formation almost always does this:

Attain the electron configuration of the closest noble gas.

This is true both for ionic and covalent bonds.

© Arno Papayan

43

Modeling Bonding

- The reality of what keeps atoms in compounds together is complex.
- But it would be wasteful, impractical, and to solve the quantum mechanical equations every time we ask a question about molecules.
- The complexity can be reduced by using models that are good enough to be useful and simple enough to think about compounds and molecules in an intuitive, tangible way.

© Arno Papayan

44

Localized Electron Model

In this model:

- A molecule is composed of atoms that are bound together by sharing pairs of electrons using the atomic orbitals of the bound atoms.
- But we still consider the electrons as contributing to the valence shell of individual atoms, rather than to the entire molecule (or the polyatomic ion)

© Arno Papayan

45

Localized Electron Model does three things:

1. Description of valence electron arrangement (Lewis structure).
Only 2-dimensional description here.
2. Description of atomic orbital types used by atoms to share electrons or hold lone pairs.
What happens to atomic orbitals when they form bonds
3. Prediction of geometry (via the VSEPR model)
3-Dimensional description of molecular structure
➤ *We will see it at the end of this chapter*

© Arno Papayan

46

Lewis Structures

A simple and surprisingly powerful way to do “quantum chemistry” for molecules and polyatomic ions

Uses the “**Octet Rule**”:

Each atom in a covalently bonded entity “wants”:
8 electrons (an “octet”) in its valence shell
(except for H, which always gets 2 electrons)

© Arno Papayan

47

Octet rule is applied through electron **pairs**

Valence electron pairs making octets everywhere

- Each atom has 4 pairs of electrons, making an “octet”
➤ Hydrogen attains only one pair (a “duet”)
- Each bond is a pair of electrons (“bonding pair”)
- Non-bonding electrons also form pairs (“lone pair”)
- Exceptions to the “octet rule” exist, and can be handled

© Arno Papayan

48

General steps for writing Lewis structures:

1. Calculate the number of valence electrons.
2. Draw the "skeletal bonds" that show which atom is connected to which. Each bond is a pair of electrons.
3. Complete the octets of atoms (except H) by creating lone pairs, starting with the peripheral atoms.
4. If the central atom doesn't have an octet when you run out of your valence electron budget, turn a peripheral atom's lone pair into an extra bond with the central atom.
5. Repeat Step 4 if needed, using a lone pair of another (rather than the same one as before) peripheral atom whenever possible.
6. Admire your work. You just did "quantum chemistry" in a very quick way that is unfairly powerful!

© Arno Paetzold

49

A couple of terms to clarify**"Central atom"**

- The atom at the center of the molecule or ion
- In some cases there may be more than one "central atom", and we treat each one the same way
- They may violate the octet rule

"Peripheral atoms"

- Atoms bonded to the central atom
- They don't violate octet rule

© Arno Paetzold

50

Step 1: Calculate the number of valence electrons

- Sum up the valence electrons brought by each atom
- Subtract the charge (so, a positive charge reduces the number of electrons, and a negative charge increases it)
- **This is our "budget" of electrons**

Example: H₂O

1 valence electron from each H $(2)(1) + (1)(6) = 8 \text{ val. e}^-$
 6 valence electrons from O

Example: H₃O⁺

Each H: 1 val. e⁻; each O: 6 val. e⁻ $(3)(1) + (1)(6) - 1 = 8 \text{ val. e}^-$
 1 (+) charge to subtract

Example: OH⁻

1 val. e⁻ from H, 6 val. e⁻ from O $(1)(1) + (1)(6) - (-1) = 8 \text{ val. e}^-$
 1 (-) charge to subtract

© Arno Paetzold

51

Step 2: Draw the "skeletal bonds"

I prefer drawing bonding pairs as a "line" (or a "dash"): —

Others might prefer to use $\cdot\cdot$ for all electron pairs

This is when we decide which atom is "central", and which is "peripheral"

Example: H₂O

H—O—H H is never central

Example: CO₂

O—C—O Why not C—O—O ?


- Symmetry is preferred
- "More metallic" atom more likely to be central
- Single C, two O suggests C is central

© Arno Paetzold

52

Step 3: Complete the octets


- By putting enough lone pairs on each atom
- Start with peripheral atoms
- Put remaining (if any) pairs on the central atom

**Example: CO₂**

electron budget: $(2)(6) + (1)(4) = 16$ (8 pairs)

2 pairs used for 2 bonds.

6 pairs remaining




© Arno Paetzold


53

Steps 4 & 5: If central atom needs more pairs, turn lone pairs on peripheral atoms into extra bonds w/ central atom

- Keep bonding as symmetric as possible

Continuing with CO₂

Avoid
 $\text{:O}\equiv\text{C}-\ddot{\text{O}}\text{:}$
 It's not symmetric




Now C also has an octet!

© Arno Paetzold

54

"Rules of thumb" for Lewis structures

If the molecular formula contains a single atom of an element (and there is only one such element in the formula) it is safe to designate it as "central atom"




© Arno Papayan

55

"Rules of thumb" for Lewis structures

If there are single atoms of more than one element,

- the "more metallic" one is likely the central atom



Carbon is often the central atom when it occurs in the molecule or the ion, since it is the most "metallic" of the common nonmetals

© Arno Papayan

56

"Rules of thumb" for Lewis structures

If the chemical formula is written symmetrically, the skeletal structure is likely symmetric. The atoms in the middle are "central" (more than one "central" atom is possible) and will be treated as such separately.

Even if the formula isn't written symmetrically, symmetric structures are often favored in nature.

First see if you can draw a symmetric skeletal structure before attempting asymmetric ones.

© Arno Papayan

57

"Rules of thumb" for Lewis structures


- Hydrogen is never the central atom
- Hydrogen only makes single bonds
- Hydrogen never has a lone pair
- Halogens only make single bonds as peripheral atoms
- Carbon almost never has lone pairs (except in CO and CN^-)
- Carbon never violates the octet rule
 - It may have an incomplete octet in a reactive cation or a radical, but you should give it an incomplete octet only if absolutely must

© Arno Papayan

58


Example: Lewis structure for PBr_3

No. of valence $e^- = (1)(5) + (3)(7) = 26$ (13 pairs)



- Only one P atom versus three Br atoms.
- P is the central atom

- Skeletal bonds consume 3 pairs.
- Use the remaining 10 pairs to complete the octet(s) with lone pairs



© Arno Papayan

59


Example: Lewis structure for HCN

No. of valence $e^- = (1)(1) + (1)(4) + (1)(5) = 10$ (5 pairs)



- H is never central
- C is in the middle of the formula
- C is "more metallic" than N

- Skeletal bonds here consume 2 pairs.
- Use the remaining 3 pairs to complete the octet(s) with lone pairs
- Complete the octet of C by turning two lone pairs of N into two extra bonds to C



© Arno Papayan

60

Example: Lewis structure for COCl₂



No. of valence e⁻ = (1)(4) + (1)(6) + (2)(7) = **24** (12 pairs)

Skeleton structure:



- Only one C atom
- C is "more metallic" than O
- C is the central atom

- Skeletal bonds here consume 3 pairs.
- Use the remaining 9 pairs to complete the octet(s) with lone pairs
- Complete the octet of C by turning a lone pair of O into an extra bond to C
 - Cl (a halogen) doesn't make a double bond as a peripheral atom



© Arno Papayan

61

Example: Lewis structure for the cyanate ion, NCO⁻

No. of valence e⁻ = (1)(5) + (1)(4) + (1)(6) - (-1) = **16** (8 pairs)

Skeleton structure: N—C—O

Lewis structures of ions are shown in square brackets

When we apply the usual method, we can use different lone pairs and obtain multiple, "valid"



Lewis structures: **Resonance structures**

But they are not equally favorable.

Each structure contributes to the actual structure, depending on how "favorable" it is.

If one is much more favorable than others, we use it as the "real" Lewis structure.

We will learn to find the favorable Lewis structure later (using "formal charges").



© Arno Papayan

62

Lewis structures with different distributions of the extra bond(s) are called "resonance structures"

© Arno Papayan


63

Delocalized Bonding

Consider the nitrate ion, NO₃⁻


No. of valence e⁻ = (1)(5) + (3)(6) - (-1) = **24** (12 pairs)

Skeleton structure:



- Only one N atom
- N is the central atom

When we distribute the electron pairs as usual, we get:




But is there any reason one oxygen is more appropriate than the other two oxygens to make the double bond? **No**

© Arno Papayan

64

Sometimes alternative Lewis structures are equivalent



No single oxygen is "special" and has no reason to be entitled to the double-bond.

Each structure has 2 N-O single bonds and 1 N=O double bond
But the double bond is with a different O in each.

© Arno Papayan

65

When the resonance structures are equivalent, they become important. They imply that:


- The electrons of the extra bond(s) cannot "decide" which bond distribution is best
- The electrons in the extra bonds(s) get distributed, i.e. "delocalized"
- Those electrons add to the bonding of more than one skeletal bond.
- The extra bonding they bring to each skeletal connection is only partial

© Arno Papayan

66

Actual structure is an average of the resonance structures.

The electrons in the extra bond are **delocalized**.



The “moving” bond is taking the place of a lone pair, which must also delocalize. But it's harder to show. So we don't show lone pairs when we show delocalized bonds

- One extra bond is spread over 3 skeletal bonds
- It adds 1/3 bond to each bond
- Each N—O bond is $1 + 1/3 = 1.33$ bond
- Slightly stronger and shorter than a single bond
- But not quite a double bond

© Arno Paetzgen

67

Formal Charge

The charge an atom **would** have if electrons in bonds were shared **equally**.

Electronegativities are completely ignored.

(Exact opposite of the pretense in assigning oxidation numbers where each atom's electronegativity was exaggerated as if each atom in a compound was an ion)

Formal charge is due to the **electron surplus or deficit** compared with the number of valence electrons in the non-bonded atom.

© Arno Paetzgen

68

Even though formal charges aren't completely “real”, they do have enough reality to give good guidance on the local charges of a covalent entity (molecule or a polyatomic ion).

They can explain the polarity of a bond you would expect to be nonpolar, or the non-polarity of a bond you would expect to be polar.

They are useful in developing intuition on the reaction mechanisms.

For example, if an atom has a positive formal charge, it may be “vulnerable to an attack” by an electron-rich reactant.

© Arno Paetzgen

69

In calculating formal charges, we assume:

- Both electrons of a lone pair of an atom belong to that atom.
- Only one electron of a bond belongs to the atom.

Formal charges must add up to the net charge of the species.

© Arno Paetzgen

70

$$\text{Formal Charge} = \boxed{\text{No. of valence } e^-} - \boxed{\text{number of } e^- \text{ assigned to the atom}}$$

$$\text{Formal Charge} = \boxed{\text{No. of valence } e^-} - \overbrace{\boxed{\text{number of non-bonding } e^-} + \boxed{\text{number of bonds}}} \text{ (1 } e^- \text{ per bond)}$$

$$\text{Formal Charge} = \boxed{\text{Main Group \#}} - 2 \times \boxed{\text{number of lone pairs}} - \boxed{\text{number of bonds}}$$

© Arno Paetzgen

71


$$\text{Formal Charge} = \boxed{\text{Main Group \#}} - 2 \times \boxed{\text{number of lone pairs}} - \boxed{\text{number of bonds}}$$



$$\text{Formal charge of H} = 1 - 0 - 1 = 0$$

$$\text{Formal charge of N} = 5 - 2 - 3 = 0$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{no. of} \\ \text{valence } e^- \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{no. of} \\ \text{nonbonding } e^- \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{1 } e^- \text{ per} \\ \text{bond} \end{array}$$



$$\text{Formal charge of H} = 1 - 0 - 1 = 0$$

$$\text{Formal charge of N} = 5 - 0 - 4 = +1$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{no. of} \\ \text{valence } e^- \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{no. of} \\ \text{nonbonding } e^- \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{1 } e^- \text{ per} \\ \text{bond} \end{array}$$

© Arno Paetzgen

72

Formal charges can be used to evaluate nonequivalent resonance structures.

In the preferred resonance structure:

- Formal charges should be as close to zero as possible.
- Formal charges match the atom's electronegativity
(-) formal charge on the more electronegative atom
(+) formal charge on the less electronegative atom

© Arno Papazian

73

Let's go back to the non-equivalent resonance structures of CO₂

	Formal Charges		
	O	C	O
Most favorable Lewis structure $\ddot{\text{O}}=\text{C}=\ddot{\text{O}}$ ✓ Smallest possible charges (all zero)	6-(2)(2)-2 = 0	4-(0)(2)-4 = 0	6-(2)(2)-2 = 0
Total magnitude of charges is not minimized Also an O with a (+) charge			
$\ddot{\text{O}}-\text{C}\equiv\ddot{\text{O}}:$ (-) charge is not on the most electronegative atom	6-(3)(2)-1 = -1	4-(0)(2)-4 = 0	6-(1)(2)-3 = +1
Total magnitude of charges is not minimized Also an O with a (+) charge			
$:\text{O}\equiv\text{C}-\ddot{\text{O}}:$ (-) charge is not on the most electronegative atom	6-(1)(2)-3 = +1	4-(0)(2)-4 = 0	6-(3)(2)-1 = -1
Total magnitude of charges is not minimized Also an O with a (+) charge			

© Arno Papazian

74

Non-equivalent resonance structures of SCN⁻

We will skip the derivation of the resonance structures.

As the annoying phrase in textbooks goes: "left as an exercise for the reader" 😊


	Formal Charges		
	S	C	N
Most favorable Lewis structure $[\ddot{\text{S}}=\text{C}=\ddot{\text{N}}:]^{-}$ ✓ Smallest possible charge And (-) charge is on the most electronegative atom	6-(2)(2)-2 = 0	4-(0)(2)-4 = 0	5-(2)(2)-2 = -1
(-) charge is not on the most electronegative atom			
$[\text{:}\ddot{\text{S}}-\text{C}\equiv\ddot{\text{N}}:]^{-}$ (-) charge is not on the most electronegative atom	6-(3)(2)-1 = -1	4-(0)(2)-4 = 0	5-(1)(2)-3 = 0
Total magnitude of charges is not minimized			
$[\text{:}\ddot{\text{S}}\equiv\text{C}-\ddot{\text{N}}:]^{-}$ Total magnitude of charges is not minimized	6-(1)(2)-3 = +1	4-(0)(2)-4 = 0	5-(3)(2)-1 = -2
Total magnitude of charges is not minimized			

© Arno Papazian

75

Sometimes unfavorable formal charges are the only option Example: Lewis structure for carbon monoxide, CO

No. of valence e⁻ = (1)(4) + (1)(6) = 10 (5 pairs)



Formal charge of C = 4 - (1)(2) - (3) = -1
Formal charge of O = 6 - (1)(2) - (3) = +1

The only structure that satisfies the octet rule, but ...


(-) charge is on the less electronegative atom

(+) charge is on the more electronegative atom


© Arno Papazian

76

Formal charges explain why CO is actually a nonpolar molecule



Cancel the effect of electronegativity difference between C and O



CO bond in the actual CO molecule has practically zero polarity!

© Arno Papazian

77

A shortcut for Formal Charges

- If **not** violating the octet rule

$$\text{Formal Charge} = \boxed{\text{number of bonds}} - \boxed{\text{number of bonds needed to complete octet}}$$

Number of bonds needed to complete the octet

F, Cl, Br, I (Group 7A)	8 - 7 = 1
O, S, Se, Te (Group 6A)	8 - 6 = 2
N, P, As (Group 5A)	8 - 5 = 3
C, Si (Group 4A)	8 - 4 = 4

of bonds it "normally" makes

With each covalent bond, the atom uses one more electron from another atom to complete its octet. So the numbers are the same as the magnitudes of the charge of the anion the element normally makes.


© Arno Papazian

78

If you find it difficult to understand or remember the “usual number of bonds” for a given element, the shortcut is probably not useful for you, and you can ignore it.

79


Shortcut for Formal Charges – an example



S is not violating the octet rule, so we can use the shortcut

Oxygen (Group 6A) normally makes 2 bonds

Sulfur (Group 6A) normally makes 2 bonds




80

Consider the Lewis structure for POCl_3 . Assign the formal charge for each atom in the molecule.

$$\text{P: } 5 - 4 - 0 = +1$$

$$\text{O: } 6 - 6 - 1 = -1$$

$$\text{Cl: } 7 - 6 - 1 = 0$$



Seeing that the octet rule is not violated (we only need to check the central atom), we could also use the shortcut for formal charges.

$$\text{P: } 4 - 3 = +1$$

$$\text{O: } 1 - 2 = -1$$

$$\text{Cl: } 1 - 1 = 0$$

If you visually get used to the usual number of bonds an element makes, you can basically “see” the formal charge by the number of bonds.

81

Exceptions to the octet rule — less than an octet

“Early” members of the second period (Be, B) and Al in the third period violate the octet rule.


Yes, Be and Al, even if they are metals, can form covalent bonds under the right circumstances.

Be is satisfied with 2 electron pairs (4 electrons)

B and Al are satisfied with 3 electron pairs (6 electrons)

When we draw the Lewis structures of molecules containing these elements, we don’t complete their octets.

Instead we stop at 2 pairs for Be, and 3 pairs for B and Al.



82


Octet rule followers and violators (summary):

- C, N, O, and F should always be assumed to obey the octet rule, except in rare cases. But: As second-row elements, they never exceed the octet.
- Third-row and heavier elements often do satisfy the octet rule but they can appear to exceed the octet
- Beryllium (Be) tends to have 4 electrons in its covalent compounds. Can have more if both of a bonding pair is brought by another atom.
- Boron (B) and Aluminum (Al) often have only 6 electrons in their covalent compounds. Can have more if both of a bonding pair is brought by another atom.
- Peripheral atoms never violate the octet rule**

83

Reducing formal charges in oxyanions by making extra bonds to the central atom

We could draw extra bonds to the central atom, not to satisfy the octet rule, but to violate it in order to reduce formal charges!




And it’s done on paper all the time.


But ...

84

No need to violate the octet rule in oxyanions like SO_4^{2-}



- “Awful” charges
- But there’s evidence they are actually closer to reality



- Charges minimized
- **But no evidence they are real**
- But you’ll find it drawn this way almost everywhere

If an oxyanion has 4 oxygens, giving each one a single bond and a -1 formal charge will give you all the predictions you need!

85

Even though it’s pretty standard practice to reduce formal charges in oxyanions by making double bonds to the central atom, **we won’t do that**.

Do not violate the octet rule just to reduce formal charges.

It’s extra work to do something that has little evidence from nature, and predicts nothing new about the ion.

If an oxyanion has 4 oxygens, giving each one a single bond and a -1 formal charge will give you all the predictions you need!

86

Shortcut for Number of Lone Pairs on the Central Atom

Works when:




- Peripheral atoms are hydrogens or halogens
- We know all the bonds to the central atom are single

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Number of} \\ \text{lone pair} \\ \text{electrons on} \\ \text{central atom} \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{No. of val.} \\ \text{electrons} \\ \text{central atom} \\ \text{brings} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Number} \\ \text{of bonds} \\ \text{on central} \\ \text{atom} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Net} \\ \text{charge} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{No. of lone pairs} = \frac{1}{2} (\text{No. of lone pair electrons})$$

87

Examples using the shortcut for number of lone pairs on the Central Atom



88


Lewis structures give us a crucial piece of information to help us **deduce the molecular geometry**:

number of lone-pairs on the **central atom**

- Easy enough to tell how many bonds; just count the peripheral atoms
- It’s the number of lone pairs on the central atom that is not obvious and we get from Lewis structures

89

A flowchart for finding the molecular geometry



90

How a Lewis Structure leads to Molecular Geometry: VSEPR Model

“Valence Shell Electron-Pair Repulsion”

- Predicts the 3-dimensional shape of the molecule
- The structure around a given atom is determined by minimizing electron pair repulsions.
- Electron groups locate and orient themselves as far apart from one another as possible

91

VSEPR Model

Actually it would be more accurate to call it VSEGR

Valence Shell Electron-**Group** Repulsion?

It's the electron **group** that counts.

A double-bond (2 pairs) or a triple bond (3 pairs) is 1 group.

A lone pair is also one group

92

Steps to Apply the VSEPR Model

1. Draw the Lewis structure for the molecule.
2. Count the electron groups and arrange them in a way that minimizes repulsion (put the groups as far apart as possible.) You get the geometry of the electron groups.
3. Put the peripheral atoms at the end of bonding groups
Choose the location of the bonds and lone pairs if necessary.
4. Determine the name of the molecular structure from positions of the atoms.

If you find it hard to think in 3D, you can memorize the table(s) at:
<https://www.papazyan.org/MolecularGeometry,Polarity,Hybridization.pdf>

93

VSEPR simulation

https://phet.colorado.edu/sims/html/molecule-shapes/latest/molecule-shapes_en.html

Use it!

94

Minimum Repulsion Arrangements of Electron Groups

Number of Electron Pairs	Arrangement of Electron Pairs	Example
2	Linear bond angle = 180°	
3	Trigonal planar All bond angles 120°	
4	Tetrahedral All bond angles 109.5°	
5	Trigonal bipyramidal 120° and 90°	
6	Octahedral 90°	


95

Structures of Molecules With Four Electron Groups Around the Central Atom


- All 4 peripheral positions are equivalent.
- It doesn't matter which bond is replaced with a lone pair.

Electron-Pair Arrangement	Molecular Structure
	 Tetrahedral
	 Trigonal pyramid
	 V-shaped (bent)


96




97




98




99



100



101



102

Practice

Determine the **shape** for each of the following molecules, and include **bond angles**:



© Arno Papayan

103

Polar Molecules, Non-polar Molecules

Remember:

- In practice, if a molecule's dipole moment is "small enough" it would be called "nonpolar"

But

- For our purposes, we will call a molecule "nonpolar" only if its dipole moment is exactly zero
- And we will call a molecule "polar" if its dipole moment is nonzero (no matter how small)

© Arno Papayan


104

Molecular polarity and symmetry

A simple way to judge polarity:


Symmetric \implies Non-polar

Dipole moment is zero



Asymmetric (lopsided) \implies "Polar"

Dipole moment is nonzero
(but could be tiny)




© Arno Papayan

105

How "symmetric" does a molecule need to be in order to be "nonpolar" (have a zero dipole moment) ?

If we see a T-shaped or a V-shaped (bent) molecule, we might perceive it as "pretty symmetric"



But they are not symmetric enough to be non-polar


There is a trick for figuring out symmetry ...

© Arno Papayan


106

Guessing symmetry from bond-angle distortion


- Imagine the lone pairs as huge, and pushing on the bonds
- Are the lone pairs completely cancelling each other's push?
- If yes, the bond angles remain ideal, and also the molecule is symmetric
- If no, the bond angles get "squished" to be smaller than ideal, and also the molecule is asymmetric



Bond angle = 180° (ideal)
Nonpolar molecule



Bond angle < 90°
Polar molecule



Bond angle < 109.5°
Polar molecule

© Arno Papayan

107

If all the peripheral atoms are the same, the "bond-angle intuition" works simply:

- If bond angles are ideal \rightarrow zero dipole
- If bond angles are not ideal \rightarrow nonzero dipole

But please remember:

Polarity is not caused by the non-ideal angles

Both polarity and non-ideal bond angles are caused by asymmetry

© Arno Papayan

108

What if there are no lone pairs, and there are more than one kind of peripheral atoms?

If one or more of the peripheral atoms are different from the rest, treat them as if they are lone pairs and see if they would distort the bond angles.


As with real lone pairs:

- If they would distort the angles, the molecule is asymmetric, therefore polar
- If they would cancel each other's push and the angles would remain ideal, then the molecule is symmetric, therefore nonpolar.

© Arno Papazyan

109

Imagine the tiny hydrogen in CHCl_3 as a huge lone pair.
Would it push on the other bonds and reduce the bond angles?



Yes. It would.

Therefore CHCl_3 is asymmetric enough to be polar.

© Arno Papazyan

110

Additional online resources on molecular geometry They are linked under Ch. 8 resources

Two very cool and useful tools:

3D VSEPR simulation

phet.colorado.edu/sims/html/molecule-shapes/latest/molecule-shapes_en.html

Molecular Polarity simulation

phet.colorado.edu/sims/html/molecule-polarity/latest/molecule-polarity_en.html

A table summarizing VSEPR

(plus hybridizations covered in Ch. 9):

www.papazyan.org/MolecularGeometry,Polarity,Hybridization.pdf

© Arno Papazyan

111

Bond lengths and bond strengths

Many factors contribute to bond strength, or whether a bond forms at all, but:

- All else being equal, shorter bond length goes with stronger bond
- Both for covalent and ionic bonds, the sizes of the atoms (or ions) making the bond limit how short the bond can be.
 - Therefore how strong the bond can be
- When the bond is made by large atoms (or ions):
 - Shared electrons can't be very close to the nuclei
 - Ions can't get close to each other

© Arno Papazyan

112

Bond lengths, strengths, and multiple covalent bonding

For a bond between a given pair of atoms:

Single < Double < Triple
weakest strongest

which is intuitive.

But we also have:

Single < Double < Triple
longest shortest

which makes sense if we realize that "stronger bond" means stronger attraction of atoms towards one another, which makes them come closer.

© Arno Papazyan

113

Bond Enthalpies (Bond Energies)

"Bond Energy" and "Bond enthalpy" often used interchangeably
It's the energy needed to **break** a bond
Also known as "bond dissociation energy"

To **break** bonds:

Energy must be *added* to the system
Endothermic

To **form** bonds:

energy is *released*
Exothermic

© Arno Papazyan

114

A small bond enthalpy (BE) means:

- The bond is relatively weak.
- The bond released little energy when formed.
- The atoms forming the bond did not reduce their energy much.
- The atoms are relatively high in energy.

A large bond enthalpy (BE) means:

- The bond is relatively strong.
- The bond released a lot of energy when formed.
- The atoms forming the bond reduced their energy by a lot.
- The atoms are relatively low in energy.

© Arno Paetzgen

115

If a reaction breaks weak bonds (small BE) and forms strong bonds (large BE):

- The energy released by forming strong bonds is larger than the energy needed to break the weak bonds.
- There will be a net energy release as a result.
- **Exothermic** reaction.

If a reaction breaks strong bonds (large BE) and forms weak bonds (small BE):

- The energy released by forming weak bonds is less than the energy needed to break the strong bonds.
- There will be a net energy absorption as a result.
- **Endothermic** reaction.

© Arno Paetzgen

116

Calculating ΔH from Bond Enthalpies

$$\Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum of} \\ \text{broken} \\ \text{bonds' BEs} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum of} \\ \text{formed} \\ \text{bonds' BEs} \end{array} \right)$$

© Arno Paetzgen

117

$$\Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum of} \\ \text{broken} \\ \text{bonds' BEs} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum of} \\ \text{formed} \\ \text{bonds' BEs} \end{array} \right)$$

This is **approximate** when we use a **table of "generic" BE values** for a particular type of bond (e.g. C—C, C=C, C—O, C=O, etc.)

- For example the C=O bond would have a different BE in CO₂ versus in an organic molecule.
- Its exact BE would depend on the order in which bonds are broken in a molecule.
 - Knowing the exact BE of a particular bond, independent of other bonds in the molecule is impossible
- **BE has to be an "average" value**, so it's **not exact** for any given compound.

© Arno Paetzgen

118

$$\Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum of} \\ \text{broken} \\ \text{bonds' BEs} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum of} \\ \text{formed} \\ \text{bonds' BEs} \end{array} \right)$$

Also note that this relationship is only applicable to gas phase reactions.

Solvent's interaction with the entire molecule complicates things

© Arno Paetzgen

119

- Figuring out the bonds broken and the bonds formed can be an error-prone process
- Instead, we can simply sum over **all** the bonds in the reactants and do the same for products. Bonds that remain intact during the reaction will appear in both sums and will cancel out
- More numbers to add, but less attention is required, less error-prone

$$\Delta H_{\text{rxn}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum of} \\ \text{bond BEs in} \\ \text{reactants} \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum of} \\ \text{bond BEs in} \\ \text{products} \end{array} \right)$$


Caution: This subtraction is in the opposite order compared with the formula for obtaining ΔH_{rxn} from ΔH_f values.

© Arno Paetzgen

120

Example

Predict ΔH for the following reaction in the gas phase:



Bond	Bond Enthalpy (kJ/mol)
C-H	413
C-N	305
C-C	347
C≡N	891

$$\Delta H = [347] - [305] = 42 \text{ kJ} \quad (\text{BE of broken bond}) - (\text{BE of formed bond})$$

Or, if we don't want to spot the broken and formed bonds, we can subtract the sum of all BEs in reactants from the sum of all BEs in products

$$\Delta H = [3(413) + 347 + 891] - [3(413) + 305 + 891] = 42 \text{ kJ}$$


© Arno Papayian

121

Example

Bond	Bond Enthalpy (kJ/mol)
C-H	413
O=O	498
C=O	804
O-H	463

Predict ΔH for the following gas-phase reaction:



© Arno Papayian

122